



Paris - Bruxelles - Frankfurt - London - Roma - Madrid - Zürich - Washington - Chicago

### **CEED - PRESS Release / english**

German civil servants of the JUGENDAMT steal children

A banal story in modern Germany

Paris, March 15, 2005

### **German dishonest civil servants of JUGENDAMT vs foreign, hard-working and honest mother**

brief summary of the facts  
-----

In October 2004, german civil servants of the JUGENDAMT of Wesel (Germany) CONCEALED without any court decision Jean-Pierre, a 7 years old boy, TO ENRICH a local adoption family. His legitimate RELEASE has just put an end to a four months nightmare for child and mother. Administrative kidnappers still do not need fear persecution by German Prosecutors. They continue to act in the name of the German Federal Republic.

A child disappears without any objective reason  
-----

Mrs. Gebara, 36 years, raises alone and well her three children, in Wesel, a morose small city, located in Germany at the Dutch border. Mrs. Gebara had originally French-Lebanese nationality. She had been blackmailed by the German judge, at the time of her divorce in 1992, to adopt German nationality or to loose the parental rights of her daughter. She preferred to become a "German" mother in order to preserve her daughter. This blackmail in name of German justice is altogether rather banal in FRG.

In September 2004, Mrs. Gebara get a job as a senior manager in a larger company in Tirol, Northern Italy. At the beginning of October, she organizes the custody of her children, in accordance with the civil servants of the JUGENDAMT (what is the normal German way) , in order to seek for an apartment in Italy. Her daughter Jade, 14 years, and her son Jean-Pierre, 7 years, remains at the time by Mrs. Beate Schröder, a nurse paid by the JUGENDAMT, which is also her neighbor. John-Marc, the second son remains by his father.

At the time she is in Italy, an employee of the JUGENDAMT comes to Mrs. Schroeder to interview the children Jade and Jean-Pierre. It is neither legal, nor moral.

At the time she is in Italy, employees of the JUGENDAMT come into her apartment – which is in rehabilitation for the very close removal – and visit it. It is neither legal, nor moral. Only Mrs. Schroeder holds the second bunch of keys.

At the time she is in Italy, employees of the JUGENDAMT contact the father of Jean-Pierre and request him to undersign a form written in administrative German (a language that only German lawyers can decipher), under the UNTRUE pretext that Mrs. Gebara would have flown to Italy without her children. This request is illegal, immoral, malicious and premeditated.

Take a lawyer and remain silent !

-----

By her return in Germany, October 8, 2004, her son Jean-Pierre has DISAPPEARED. Nobody can say to Mrs. Gebara where he is. Nobody can say her why her son was taken away. Nobody gives her any assistance; neither the police, neither Jugendamt, nor Mrs. Schröder. Her son DISAPPEARED "legally" in modern Germany.

German civil servants advice Mrs Gebara to take a lawyer and to get patience until an appointment will be made by the court. They cannot make more for her !

Without NEVER being able to contact her son, without any explanation for the brutal administrative child abduction, Mrs. Gebara must turn back to Italy, together with her daughter, to start working in her new company.

An organization which is not the work of a sole judge

-----

At the time of the Court hearing, November 22, 2004, a month and a half after the child has been "German-legal" abducted, the local lawyer elected by Mrs. Gebara, Mr Thomas HEISKE, does not say ANY word to defend her client, who never entered a Court before. Mrs. Gebara understands that "something" is running wrong.

Then, when judge Dressler forbid Mrs. Gebara to talk during the hearing, threatening her to make use of the two police officers who are ordered for the cause, she understands that more than "something" is running wrong.

Then, when she notes that three minor civil servants of the JUGENDAMT sit there in the hearing room and monopolize the word to insult her in front of the judge, she understands that "everything" is running completely wrong.

The hearing has as only objective to make of her a bad mother, a person without any skills, even a criminal. Under these conditions, no one will be astonished that all evidences that Mrs. Gebara could have provided to prove her good faith and her right behaviour, if its German lawyer did not have concealed them, is systematically ignored, refuted, even turned over against her.

These methods are well known by all parents of children who DISAPPEARED for ever in Federal Republic, after they were abducted there with the compliance of German authorities. To be able to defend oneself reasonably in human dignity against of the horde of judges, civil servants and pseudo-experts implied is NOT possible in Germany. To resist German perpetual legal HARRASSEMENT requires an excellent health and mentally strength.

When a week later, her SEVEN years old son must face alone three adults - the judge and two little civil servants of the JUGENDAMT- in the Court Room without his mother, lawyer or witnesses, Mrs. Gebara understands the scenario:

The THEFT of her child by the GERMAN FEDERAL REPUBLIC will happen under cover of FACTITIOUS proceedings, to confer a paper legitimacy.

If this story of modern Germany has an UNUSUAL character, it is not so much because CHILDREN ARE TEAR OFF FROM THEIR PARENTS under cover of immoral pseudo-legality, but because Mrs. Gebara does not fear to face the simple will of dishonest minor German civil servants, who presume to give her a lesson human values, after having stolen her child without any reason.

Mrs Gebara hold a doctor of economy, she speaks 5 languages. She understands the actual motivation of the German civil servants quickly and notices that thousands of other parents share her sad fate. She knows help can come from abroad only.

A dissimulated well oiled organization  
-----

When she explains to the Italian Prosecutor German civil servants teared off her son, WITHOUT ANY REASON, without preliminary hearing or even court decision, to place him by force in an adoptive family, he doubts her good faith. As all foreign authorities do when their fellow-citizens hold similar remarks. Foreign authorities doubt rather the mental health of their own citizen, rather than to believe German civil servants in modern Germany could be able of so much dishonesty.

The Italian Prosecutor wants and explanation. He rings up the JUGENDAMT Wesel. « Mrs. Gebara has been deprived of her children », explain the minor civil servants with their usual arrogance, « not while Mrs. Gebara is a bad mother, but because the children shouldn't leave for Italy». The Italian Prosecutor has the evidence such a justification is not neither legal nor even moral; it is a the simple will of stealing a child ADMINISTRATIVELY to keep him on German soil.

The searches engaged locally in Germany by Mrs. Gebara in schools, neighbourhood and administrations remain unseccessful. Several thousands of emails sent remain ALL unanswered. Nobody knows anything. All keep silent, even those which know.

On the spot a presumption becomes obviousness. Witnesses report they have been the victims of similar predation's acts of the local JUGENDAMT. They were deprived of their children without any objective reason. Children were moved in others cities to be HIDDEN. Address of adoptive families were kept secret. Those people are frightened of reprisals on behalf of the JUGENDAMT. It's the reason why so few people dare to talk openly about German « legal » child kidnapping.

When the CEED in Paris is contacted, two months later, it establishes immediately the child has been taken away since October 8, 2004 without any court decision. Obviously, it is child ROBBERY. The CEED summons the JUGENDAMT to inform Mrs. Gebara about the place of residence of her son, latest until December 5, 2004.

Although informed, Mr Heiske, the German lawyer, does not worry particularly about the lack of any court order. For him all that is only ROUTINE. As a German lawyer, it lent oath to defend the interests of its NATION (§ 26 BRAO),, contrary to its foreign counterparts, who defend their customers and also their German CUSTOMERS, in respect of Law and universal values.

To steal a child administratively is again moral and legitimate in Germany  
-----

Those German representatives and officials who are informed about Mrs Gebara's case drape in a GUILTY and INEXCUSABLE SILENCE, whilst the case is about nor less than ADMINISTRATIVE child's ROBBERY midden of modern Europe.

On December 11, 2004 the CEED files the JUGENDAMT's officers for CHILDREN ABDUCTION. The Italian does the same few days later, for HUMAN TRAFFICKING. A local German lawyer NEVER would never do it.

In Germany, neither the local Prosecutor, neither the General Prosecutor, nor even the Federal Prosecutor and even less the Minister for justice, however all informed, show any reaction. They do not even condescend to give any answer. Nobody is affected. These facts, thus, seem to have morality and legality, even on top of German State.

Who gave to the JUGENDAMT and other affiliated organizations, ALL POWER to ORGANIZE legal kidnapping of children? This question has to be raised when one knows German civil servants has already been condemned by the European Court of Justice in Strasbourg in different similar cases (Görgülü 1 child stolen and placed, Haase 7 stolen children and placed) ?

Who knows in Europe, the German JUGENDAMT claims 28.000 Euro per month from the family Haase to pay the adoptive families which stolen it's children, whereas the international community - the European Court of Strasbourg – request since over a year the German State to withdraw the children without any condition to their parents ?

Who knows in Europe, family Görgülü still did not recover his stolen child after 5 years of proceedings and a favourable Court's decision in Strasbourg. Who knows this family must bear to be turned humiliated by the local German politicians and the JUGENDAMT, who use the adoptive family as a shield to prevent from enforcing the decision of the international community?

A stratagem unworthy of a Nation in European Union

-----  
Since the local civil servants noticed they are being confronted with a determined parent, who alerts the Italian authorities, European representatives, organisations and the international press, they organize themselves.

Instead of returning immediately and without any condition the child to the mother, as any well minded European would expect to do, the civil servants of modern Germany organize a STRATAGEME to disguise this administrative ABDUCTION.

Nobody, for sure, will allege this three points plan is the result of a co-operation between local representatives, judge DRESSLER and his colleagues from Public Prosecutor's Department, under the benevolence of a local lawyer, who is close to the local judge. But every one will make its own opinion whilst reading the facts. The local lawyer tries by all means to lock out any communication to the press; it may be a further indication.

The **first point** foresees to launch a misinformation campaign to disguise the truth, rather than to punish the KIDNAPPERS. The press attaché of the JUGENDAMT (why does a local JUGENDAMT have a press attaché?) releases a report secretly in the medias. The German lawyer of Mrs. Gebara works as an intermediary. He does not inform his client. False information should be propagated to defame Mrs Gebara publicly.

The **second point** foresees to fill out the legal vacuum, generated by the sudden will of the small civil servants to conceal the child as Mrs Gebara is in Italy, rather than to restore him without any condition.

December 6, 2004, one day after the expiry of the time allowed by the CEED to tell the place of residence of Jean-Pierre, local judge Drechsler put under the pressure by the local JUGENDAMT delegates by unilateral decision, the parental authority on the child to the JUGENDAMT.

The judge motivates his decision by the fact that Mrs. Gebara turned to the press to denounce German administrative ROBBERY (This decision is at anyone's disposal)

The **third point** foresee to DISGUISE the administrative child ABDUCTION into a PARENTAL CONFLICT over child's custody, instead of punishing the administrative kidnapers and draw them aside immediately to prevent of any further misinfluence.

The KIDNAPPERS mislead the press and the public opinion

-----

In December the civil servants of the JUGENDAMT produce a report issued from nowhere. It is written by one of the numerous "pseudo-experts" all at the sold of the JUGENDAMT. The report is dated 2001. Mrs. Gebara saw the "pseudo-expert" only twice before, as she applied for a nurse, because of her professional occupations (The proceeding shown here are not a single example).

The report, written or rewritten for the cause in December 2004 (a ritual of modern justice German), states that the child, at this time 4 years old, suffers from time to time a SERIOUS disease, at least for JUGENDAMT's "experts"; he suffers from time to time of EUNURESIE. clearly, he made wee in his the bed from time to time !

This is enough to turn this woman to a mother who works TOO MUCH (?) and doesn't take care of her children. It doesn't matter if her two other children do not have any problem.

(All pretexts are welcome and the case shown here is for far from being a single exception.)

What is the actual aim of such a pseudo-medical report ?

Why was the report kept secret by the JUGENDAMT ?

Despite of its illegality, is the designated cause a sufficient reason to take away a child ?

Then the same civil servants, whose are particularly inventive since they have to shape the world according to their beliefs, assert Mrs. Gebara has FLOWN to Italy abandonning her children.

Why do they assert that?

Does a mother seeking for an new apartment to house her family, and who, by the way, informed the villainous civil servants of the purpose of her trip to Italy, wants to FLEE ?

Lastly, these same small minded people, entrusted to dismember families without any legal control in Germany, state that Mrs. Gebara let live her children in a SLUM.

Why do they affirm that? And why didn't they note it before, but now in 2004?

On which evidence do these PROFESSIONAL LIARS assess their allegation ?

The illegal visit in Mrs. Gebara's flat during her absence finds here its real justification.

CRIMINAL law always prevails on CIVIL law

-----

Whereas the ADMINISTRATIVE ABDUCTION is flushed out and made public, the civil servants cannot carry out the transfer "of property" over "living goods", as they are used to do.

To dissimulate their objective, they misuse the father. The business should be FAKED as a parental conflict. They convince him to file at Court for sole parental authority.

The local judge knows that the demand was prepared under the impulse of the minor civil servants, whose are also the same people who wrote all « official » reports. The judge doesn't ignore the criminal charges against his colleagues of the JUGENDAMT. The judge doesn't ignore the legal issue of such criminal charges. He cannot ignore CRIMINAL law always prevails on CIVIL law in the whole world.

Under such conditions, any conscientious judge would – world wide - postpone his decision until criminal justice has prosecuted child's kidnappers. This is precisely the reason why, in spite of any legality, he hasten to transfer on December 20, 2004, sole parental authority to the GERMAN father.

But, the father DOESNT INTEND TO TAKE CARE of his CHILD. He said it in the Court room. He saw his child, on demand of Mrs. Gebara, only two or three times a year since was born, in spite of four years common life with the mother. The JUDGE KNOWS IT INCONTESTABLY, even if he wasn't willing to listen at Mrs. Gebara.

It is the very reason why they put on this modern German scenario. As foreseen, shortly after judge's order, the German father delegates his exclusive parental authority to the local JUGENDAMT (officially the mother does not exist any more – it's not a joke, it's modern German justice). The child can then be placed in a GERMAN ADOPTIVE FAMILY, which is chosen and paid... by the local JUGENDAMT !

If these decisions are "legal" according to German understanding of "right", it means that German justice proceedings make it legal to conceal a child, to hand it over to a German adoption family.

A child never leaves German soil

-----

Mrs. Schröder, the nice neighbor, is since years a model employee of the JUGENDAMT. A few years ago she adopted Marina. Since that day Mrs Schroeder earn every month euro 700,- (US-\$ 950,-) TAXFREE. Marina's maintenance is paid... by the JUGENDAMT.!

Marina's mother is a Yugoslav born person. She has five children. How could she imagine at the time she informed the civil servants of the JUGENDAMT of a possible return to her country, they will arrange a plan to take away her child Marina ? In no time the report of a pseudo-expert was written - all the pretexts are good - and the child could be adopted. By taking a single child, a whole family will not leave German soil. The mother has probably to pay the alimony to the Schroeder, if she wants to see her daughter.

Jean-Pierre's mother is a Lebanese born person. She has three children. How could she imagine, as she was in Italy, Mrs. Schröder would arrange FROM BEHIND an interview of her children and visit of her apartment for her employers? What did they plan? Was Mrs. Schroeder afraid of losing income with the departure of family Gebara?

A logical and rational continuation

-----

On Monday February 14, 2005 Mrs. Gebara LIBERATES her son. Jean-Pierre has become legal « property » of Mrs. Schroeder.

By his arrival in Italy, Jean-Pierre was interwied by a special unit of the Juvenile Court. His hearing show us what is modern Germany today;

- Jean-Pierre was first moved in another city. There, he didn't go to school during several months. He has "to adapt" himself to his new environment and family, Monika and Jürgen JAUCH, - Lammersweg 103 in 46509 Xanten –

[ Clearly, he should not to be found ]

- He had no right to leave and come "in front" except for the meal time

[ Clearly, he was locked up in its room all the day ]

- He was regularly beaten on face and in the knees holes.

-He made a trip by plane to RUSSIA to get a mother and her young child to Germany

[ Clearly: The Jugendamt misused Jean-Pierre's presence to mislead the Russian authorities and turn the Jauch family into a "honourable" German family with child ]

- The infant was torn off from his Russian mother - who did not speak, nor read German - under the German pretext she feeded her child too long and bought bad products. Then the decision "fell" as it "fell" in his own history.

[ Clearly: the property on the infant was transferred to the Jugendamt under cover of the decision of a local judge, exactly like it happened in Jean-Pierre's case. The Jauch family or any other faithful German family will henceforth enjoy a new richness, every month euro 600 (US-\$ 800) + the family benefits + 2.000 euro (US-\$ 2,700) as a single payment. Child and money will remain in Germany. The Jugendamt will probably claim alimony from the Russian mother to finance the German adoptive family]

- later, he spent few days by his father, who said he does not have time for him. Then he was forwarded to his German aunts who didnt want to take him over, because the Jugendamt did not want pay any single cent.

[ Clearly: the local judge and the minor civil servants were trapped by the unexpected and sudden public focus. They endeavoured to camouflage the administrative robbery while overhanding the child first to the father, who did not want him, then to the German aunts, family's members, who did not want to take care without any financial counterpart ]

- Then he was returned to Beate Schroeder. There he helped Mrs. Schroeder fill the packing cases for the removal. Mrs. Schroeder took a larger apartment. She now resides Erlenweg 42 in 46514 Schermbeck.

[ Clearly: after the media pressure has fallen down, the Jugendamt transferred the kidnapped child to the one he was promised from the beginning. Mrs. Schroeder perceives each month 600 euro TAXFREE on behalf of the JUGENDAMT. The money promised for Jean-Pierre should come soon as a thank for her faithful services.]

- Jean-Pierre was called Jean-Pierre JAUCH, then Pierre SCHROEDER. He went to the school of Mr. Munkes, Hagelkreuzschule, later to the school Kolbe Schule.

[ Clearly: his name was changed deliberately so that he couldn't be found any more. Whereas Mrs. Gebara searched for her son every where, she was received by Mr. Munkes, the school's principal, where Jean-Pierre was teached in class 2C, as we know today. Mr. Munkes copied all information and DISSIMULATES the presence of the child within his establishment ]

- Jean-Pierre was afraid his mom could seek for him, because he did not want her to be jailed. He had to shout very loudly, if he saw her by through the window

[ Clearly: the rupture with the mother was to be not only physical, but psychological ]

Who in Europe misleads its partners and steals children undercover...

---

As opposed to the GERMAN parents and to GERMAN adoptive families, who can carry out with no end Court proceedings by ALL EXPENSES PAID, honest FOREIGN parents must WORK to feed their children, pay their taxes and pay their GERMAN lawyers, if they don't want to take the risk of being condemned in absentia by any GERMAN Judge.

Mrs. Gebara spent more than 10.000 euro in the few last months. This money remained in Germany. Foreign parents of DISAPPEARED children, thanks to active assistance from German civil servants, spend on average between 10.000 and 20.000 euro (US-\$ 13.500,- 27.000,-) just TO TRY to get justice in Germany. Mr. Sheehan for example spent over 100.000 euro (US-\$ 135,000) just to try getting justice by legal means, as usual without any success. All this money remained in Germany. It is absolutely SCANDALOUS.

Who thinks German family justice's finality is to keep children remaining in Germany, mistakes. It is much more subtle; as long as foreign parents seek for justice by love for their children, they will spend all their money, trying to recover them.

At least German civil servants, who know exactly what they do, let them believe they will obtain justice one day, so far they should contest the first Court's decision, then the report from JUGENDAMT, then the report from GUTACHTER, then the report from VERFAHRENSPFLEGER, then the inactivity of one's elected German attorney, and so on, for so long time until the children have grown – in Germany..

German justice serve another duty. By retaining deliberately children under dishonest and wrong pretexts in *Germany*, German judges ensure the transfer of victims' parent's richness into German economy. This is the fundamental reason to explain why Germany is the only country on the world, which kidnap children "legally".

If Mrs. Gebara had not succeeded in saving her child from the claws of German children ROBBERS, they certainly would have claimed alimony from her. Thus, she would have been forced to enrich German children TRADERS (those from JUGENDAMT), their FENCES (the adoptive families) and their ACCOMPLICES (the pseudo-experts) during the next 15 years.

To debit her wages in Italy, the JUGENDAMT would have obtained a Court's decision before a GERMAN court – mostly in secret but with the compliance of the local German lawyer – and then would have requested international mutual legal assistance to let their ITALIAN counterparts enforce the secret judgement against her. Italian justice, like all other justices in Europe, do not imagine the way how justice is ruling against their own citizens.

The case of Mrs. Gebara is not a « bedauerndswerter Einzelfall », an unhappy exception, a term which is traditionally used by German politicians to calm their foreign counterparts. A search in GOOGLE.DE for the combination of two words "Jugendamt" and "Kinderklau" (children's robbery) could deliver quite unpleasant surprises, to those Germany loving people, who do not want to see the reality in modern Germany.

An European justice diverted of its mission

---

Instead of letting a child having a happy life with her mother and her brother and sister in Italy, the German civil servants placed it by force in a German adoptive family, only for own purposes. They explained him his foreign mother did not want him any more, but omitted to explain why his German father rejected him. They did not care to destroy him psychologically.

If the described facts were to correspond to reality, if German civil servants did not change a position, that would mean today a German administration, the JUGENDAMT, which does not have power of justice, can remove a child from his biological parents without objectives reasons, cut him long enough from his family to give time to a “pseudo-expert” to provide a report, thus to allow a German "judges" to cover legally an administrative abduction to enrich GERMAN adoptive families by extorting the alimony from the victim parents victims.

If it were thus, and it is so, it is not any more question of JUSTICE.

Such a TRADE of children is not any more within the competence of a small German local Court, nor even within the competence of the European Court of the Humans right in Strasbourg. It is within the competence of the International Penal Court of the Hague.

This type of organization, against which German citizens cant oppose (see cases Görgülue and Haase for example), is, either one wants to admit it or not, either one wants to listen at the HIGHLY ALARMING REMARKS of VICTIM PARENTS AND GRANDPARENT or not, a duplicate of a secret organization (Hauptabteilung A.) which was directed Mr Max Sollmann.

When children’s ROBBERY becomes natural right of a State

-----

Mrs. Gebara – like the most foreign parents - does not expect justice any more from such justice-system which is mistaken in mission and misleading his foreign counterparts on its actual finality.

Mrs. Gebara doesn’t intend to withdraw from justice. Isn't justice the pillar of any modern democracy and the neutrality of its decisions the indicator of its health?

Only an independent and neutral European Commission would be able to say, if in this case - which is representative for tens of thousands other cases -, her child was placed in a German adoptive family, according to criterias of OBJECTIVITY, MORALITY, EQUITABILITY and HUMANITY, which are worthy of a European justice.

The commission should say, if the objectives and the methods of the various German actors, the degree of dependence from the ones to the others, their direct implication in legal proceedings, can satisfy criterias of impartiality.

The commission should be given ALL documents compiled in SECRECY by the civil servants of the JUGENDAMT. It should raise the question of their legitimacy, since this administration is attending German legal proceedings as an administrative PARTIE, beside both parents, before the judge.

The commission should analyze accurately HOW and WHY German civil servants of the JUGENDAMT are empowered to instrumentalize a parent against the other to give a child to a German adoptive family.

The commission should check the role of lawyer HEISKE and in general the aim of the secret meeting held probably with the judge, on Monday December 5.

The commission should also check HOW German authorities have sent Italian Carabinieri to the house of Mrs. Gebara, few hours after Jean-Pierre was released, in order to place the child into police custody and send him back to Germany.

Then in the same manner, German authorities already misled their French counterparts 1999, as they dispatched French police to the french house of Julian K, 4 years at that time, to place him 16 hours in police custody in France and DEPORT him within few hours towards FRG. Since the child DISAPPEARED forever from his French parent.

This incident led french representative Pierre Cardo to raise a question to French Government. (see: <http://www.pierre-cardo.com/depute/Travail/Questions/2000/54040.htm>)

In the same manner, German authorities already misled their Turkish counterparts 1997, as they dispatched Turkish police to the house of Boris, 13 years old at that time, to tear him away from his mother - without any legal decision - and deport him to Germany. The Honorary Consul of FRG in Antalaya (Turkey), lent assistance. Since this day the child has DISAPPEARED forever from the life of his mother. He was placed in a german adoptive family by the JUGENDAMT.

Last but not least, the commission should analyze the financial balance sheet, resulting from financial transfers for alimony purposes, between the Federal Republic and each State of the European Union, proportionally to the numerous of children born from a binational couple and one of the partners has German nationality. Therefore it will measure the congruence between German official statements and the actual facts accomplished every day by German judges, behind closed doors.

Europeans must listen at Mrs. Gebara and all other VICTIMS. They must HANDLE urgently. To remain quiet about German « legal » Child's ROBBERY is a real DANGER for Europe.

If Mrs Gebara's case will raise a question before the Italian Parliament or even before the European Parliament, will remain the choice of representatives, who are concerned about the understanding of human values in Germany. We rely on the probity of our representatives let the human values be respected in administrations of ALL European Union, Germany including.

As the VICTIMS become CRIMINAL

-----

In 1999, the father of Julian K. was registered secretly by the German Prosecutor in the file SIREN (European Interpol, since the ratification of the Treaty of Schengen) without reason. Until today, German authorities refuse to give the reasons and the legal base of such inscription. Thus, the father of Julian became in the eyes of all European police a CRIMINAL, without knowing it and without knowing why. This is MODERN German justice.

While criminalizing innocent fellow-citizens on the behalf of Germans, police forces, wich are attached to the SIREN system, can unwillingly contribute, if they dont check accurately the legacy – as understand by the international community - of German decisions, to feed the JUGENDAMT's TRADE of CHILDREN.

Mrs Gebara, who has the moral right on her side, became also a CRIMINAL with the eyes of German civils servant. That will allow German local authorities to take any kind of decisions against her, without any hearing (it absolutely common in modern Germany, German lawyers act as post boxes). The cases of the American Professor, Mr. Maloney, or Mr. Maher, engineer in a large airline company, are the best evidences for it. Both were arrested at German border, Prof. Maloney was imprisoned during a month and half. Mr Maher couldnt come to the Court hearing in Dresden.

Both have seven children who DISAPEARED for ever in Germany, after beeing abducted with active administrative COMPLIANCE (Meldebehoerde, Jugendamt, Judge and Lawyer).

Mrs. Gebara, international sales manager in one of the largest European companies of it's branch, will be hindered in visiting her foreign customers. After having stolen her child, German civil servant will try to harm her by all the means, she dared to make public the SCANDALOUS BEHAVIOUR of people paid by German State, acting in the name of the Federal Republic of Germany. The International community is pleased to intervene URGENTLY in such matter.

Lebensborn e.V. new fashioned ?  
-----

The administrative abduction in the case of Jean-Pierre shows that beyond an "ITALIAN" mother, also parents of YUGOSLAVIAN, RUSSIAN, FRENCH and TURKISH nationalities lost their children forever, whilst German authorities fooled their foreign counterparts about

The European Community MUST seek for explanations. German political leaders, and not the judges behind whom they hide readily, MUST explain to the German nation and to their European partners HOW and WHY according to wich universal MORAL CRITERIAS such Court decisions are taken midden in the European Union of today.

To remain silent would mean to endorse the SCANDALOUS CHILDREN'S TRADE of the civil servants of KREISJUGENDAMT WESEL and all the others (covered by never ending legal proceedings) in the country.

While our German friends wonder about the legality of Jean-Pierre's release, the international community wonders about the morality of German justice. Is it German legal or universal moral ?

While our German friends will wonder endless if natural Right can prevail immoral and criminal behavior of German civil servants, the European Community wonders about the understanding of morality and ethics by these same civils servant.

Ratify a common constitution with a Nation whose civil servants are not ashamed to perpetuate practicals, one had believed disappeared forever, those of Lebensborn e.V., should remain in the appreciation of each European Citizen.

Jean-Pierre is well.

The KREISJUGENDAMT Wesel is seeking for a new child to finance the new apartment of Mrs Schroeder. John-Marc, the second son from Mrs Gebara, is still in Germany.

Nicole Santacreu  
Présidente du CEED  
Strasbourg - tél: 03 88 31 60 21

Olivier Karrer  
Vice-Président du CEED  
Paris - tél: 01 46 63 53 83

-----  
Please forward this testimony of modern Germany to your list, colleagues and representatives  
-----